

E-ISSN: 2709-9407

P-ISSN: 2709-9393

JMPES 2020; 1(2): 25-35

© 2020 JMPES

[www.mathematicaljournal.com](http://www.mathematicaljournal.com)

Received: 13-02-2020

Accepted: 05-04-2020

**Yaremenko Mykola Ivanovich**  
National Technical University  
of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky  
Kyiv Polytechnic Institute"  
Kyiv, Ukraine

## Finite-time blow-up of parabolic PDE with singular coefficients, method of the fundamental solutions

**Yaremenko Mykola Ivanovich**

### Abstract

This article is dedicated to the nonlinear second-order partial differential equations of parabolic type with  $u^p$  - perturbation, we establish conditions on the nonlinear perturbation of the parabolic operator under which the solutions of initial value problems do not exist for all time that is the solutions blow up.

**Keywords:** Quasilinear parabolic equation, blow up, fundamental solution, evolution equation

### Introduction

In Euclidean space  $R^l, l > 2$ , let us consider second-order parabolic partial differential equation in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \left[ \sum_{k,j=1,\dots,l} \nabla_k a_{kj}(t,x) \nabla_j - \sum_{k=1,\dots,l} b_k(t,x) \nabla_k \right] u + u^p \quad (1)$$

With the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x),$$

Where  $u(t, x); (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^l, l > 2$  is unknown function [1-4, 7-11, 16]. We are assuming that  $a_{kj}(t, x)$  is a measurable symmetric uniformly elliptic matrix of  $l \times l$  dimension so that there are  $\nu, \mu: 0 < \nu \leq \mu < \infty$  such that the condition

$$\nu \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} a_{ij}(t,x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \mu \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i^2$$

Holds for all  $x \in R^l, l \geq 3$ . The function  $b(t, x): [0, \infty) \times R^l$  a  $R^l, l \geq 3$  is linear perturbation and  $1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{l}, l \geq 3$ . Here module of coefficients  $|b|$  belongs to  $N_2$  [1, 7, 9, 10,

16]. The best-known results for equations of this type can be found in the article [11], where the perturbation has to satisfy conditions for the existence of a solution that has been formulated in [10] by S.I. Kametaka and O. A. Oleinik on page 588, namely that sum

$$\sum_{k=1,\dots,l} |b_k(t, x)| \in L^\infty$$

Or in other words coefficients must be bounded functions or more general belong to  $L^p$  functional classes, in the presented work perturbation can belong to class  $Nash_{N_2}$ , which is wider than  $L^p$ .

### Correspondence

**Yaremenko Mykola Ivanovich**  
National Technical University  
of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky  
Kyiv Polytechnic Institute"  
Kyiv, Ukraine

Since <sup>[10, 11]</sup> substantial progress has been made in the understanding of the classes of perturbations of parabolic operators, especially in the case of linear equations with time-independent coefficients <sup>[1, 7]</sup>. In this article, we consider the equation (1) under wider conditions on the perturbation than <sup>[10, 11]</sup>, for example, the function  $b$  can be such that  $b^2 \notin L_{loc}^{1+\varepsilon}(R^l)$ ,  $l > 2$  <sup>[1]</sup>. To show the boundary of the new theory, let us consider the following example <sup>[1, 16]</sup>:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \sum_{k,j=1,\dots,l} \nabla_k a_{kj}(x) \nabla_j u - \sum_{k=1,\dots,l} b_k(t,x) \nabla_k u$$

Under the following condition on linear perturbation

$$\int_{R_+} \left\langle b(t, \cdot) \cdot a^{-1}(t, \cdot) \cdot b(t, \cdot) \middle| \varphi(t, \cdot) \right\rangle^2 dt \leq \\ \leq C \int_{R_+} \langle a(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla \varphi(t, \cdot), \nabla \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle dt + M \int_{R_+} \langle \varphi(t, \cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle dt,$$

Where there are constants  $C < 4$  and  $M < \infty$ . For instance,  $b$  can be a vector function that satisfies the following condition

$$\sum_{k=1,\dots,l} b_k^2(t,x) \leq \nu^2 C \left( \frac{l-2}{2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} + M \frac{1}{|t|} \left( \ln \left( e + \frac{1}{|t|} \right) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Let the matrix  $a_{ij}$  be diagonal then the differential operator be

$$-\Delta + b \cdot \nabla, \text{ here } b = \frac{l-2}{2} \sqrt{\beta} \frac{x}{|x|^2}, \quad 0 < \beta < 4.$$

These conditions are more general than the sufficient conditions that were formulated in <sup>[10]</sup> by S.I. Kametaka O.A. Oleinik, and in <sup>[11]</sup> by V. V. Chistyakov.

Since the results of V. V. Chistyakov are founded on classical results of S. I. Pokhozhaev <sup>[8]</sup> and S.I. Kametaka O. A. Oleinik <sup>[10, 11]</sup>, let us consider the simplest example in which the sufficient conditions <sup>[11]</sup> are not satisfied, however, the solution exists. Let us consider the elliptic equation

$$a \circ d^2 u \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^l a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u = 0,$$

Where the matrix  $a$  is  $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + b \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}$ ,  $b = -1 + \frac{l-1}{1-\chi}$ ,  $\chi < 1$ ,  $l \geq 3$  [1, 16].

We calculate

$$\nabla a = b(l-1) \frac{x}{|x|^2}, \quad (a_{ij})^{-1} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{b}{b+1} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}, \quad \nabla a \circ a^{-1} \circ \nabla a = (1+b)^{-1} \left( \frac{l-1}{|x|} \right)^2$$

Then we obtain inequality with the best constant

$$\langle \nabla \varphi \circ a \circ \nabla \varphi \rangle \geq (1+b) \frac{l-2}{2} \left\| \frac{\varphi}{|x|} \right\|_2^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_1^2(R^l), l \geq 3$$

So, if  $\beta = 4 \left( 1 + \frac{\chi}{l-2} \right)^2$  then  $\nabla a \circ a^{-1} \circ \nabla a \in PK_\beta(A)$  with the constant  $c(\beta) = 0$ , for  $\beta < 4$  it is necessary  $\chi \in (-2(l-2), 0)$ , where we denote the functional class  $PK_\beta(A)$  according to formula

$$PK_{\beta}(A) = \left\{ f \in L_{loc}^1(R^l, d^l x) : \left| \langle h f h \rangle \right| \leq \beta \langle \nabla h \mathbf{O} a \mathbf{O} \nabla h \rangle + c(\beta) \|h\|_2^2 \quad \forall h \in C_0^{\infty} \right\}$$

Where  $\beta > 0$ ,  $c(\beta) \in R^1$ . We assume that the initial condition is  $u(|x|=1) = 1$ . As the solutions, we can consider two functions: the first is  $u \equiv 1$  - tautological constant and the second is  $u = |x|^{\chi}$  [16]. If parameter  $\chi = -\frac{l-2}{s}$  then  $\beta = 4 \left(1 + \frac{\chi}{l-2}\right)^2$  and  $\beta \leq 4$  for  $p > s$  in the ball  $K_1(0)$  function  $u = |x|^{\chi} \in L^p(K_1(0))$  on another hand must hold the following estimation

$$\|\exp(-t\Lambda_p)\|_{p \rightarrow s} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right) t^{\frac{-(s-p)l}{2ps}}, \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}} < p < s \leq \infty$$

Where semigroup  $\exp(-t\Lambda_p)$  is generated by a linear operator

$$\Lambda_p = A + b(l-1) \frac{x}{|x|^2} \nabla. \text{ That means } |x|^{\chi} \in L^{\frac{pl}{l-2}}(K_1(0))$$

But it is impossible because  $|x|^{\chi} \notin L_{loc}^{\frac{pl}{l-2}} K_1(0)$  so the function  $|x|^{\chi}$  cannot be a solution and there is only one trivial solution [16]. If  $\beta > 4$  then the equation  $a \mathbf{O} d^2 u = 0$  always has two bounded solutions. Parallel with this equation, we can consider a Cauchy problem for a parabolic equation with the same differential operator. Let us assume that the linear operator  $-\Lambda_p \supset \nabla a \nabla - b \nabla$  defines over  $D(A_p)$  generates holomorphic semigroup in

$$L^p(R^l, d^l x)\text{-space. Let } b \mathbf{O} a^{-1} \mathbf{O} b \in PK_{\beta}(A), \text{ we denote } b_n = \chi_n b$$

Where  $\chi_n$  is an indicator of?

$$\left\{ x \in R^l : (b \mathbf{O} a^{-1} \mathbf{O} b)(x) \leq n \right\} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b_n)) = \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b))$$

Uniformly at  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\beta < 1$ ,  $p \in \left[ \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}, \infty \right)$  then there is  $C_0$  - contraction semi group, which is generated by the operator  $A + b \nabla$  and the estimates

$$\|\exp(-t\Lambda_p)\|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{p-1}\right),$$

$$\|\exp(-t\Lambda_p)\|_{p \rightarrow s} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{c(\beta)t}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right) t^{\frac{-(s-p)l}{2ps}}, \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}} < p < s \leq \infty$$

Hold for  $1 \leq \beta < 4$ ,  $p < s \in \left[ \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}, \infty \right)$ , operator sum  $A + b \nabla$  cannot be defined correctly, however, semigroup exists and can be defined as a limit  $\exp(-t\Lambda_p(b)) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-t\Lambda_p(b_n))$ ,  $t \geq 0$  in this case it is a definition of the semigroup [16].

So, new results in the theory of semigroups bring about a new understanding of the conditions under which we consider partial differential equations of the parabolic type. Thus, arose a problem of rectification of the conditions on the coefficients of PDE under which these equations will have a solution in certain functional spaces on more general than in [11]. Let us consider a parabolic equation with the Laplace operator and with the critical case of perturbation  $u^p$ , in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta u + u^p.$$

If  $p > 1 + \frac{2}{l}$  and an initial value  $u_0 \neq 0, u_0 \geq 0$  is sufficiently small then there is a bounded solution on any bounded interval of time; if  $1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{l}$  then for any initial value  $u_0(x)$  the solution blows up on bounded time interval i.e. there is a moment of time  $t_0$  such that  $u(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow t_0} \infty$ , the global solution does not exist for a time  $t_0$  [11].

The main result of this article can be formulated as follows.

The solution  $u(t, x)$  to the Cauchy problem for the equation (1) with the initial condition  $u(0, x) = u_0 \neq 0, u_0 \geq 0$  blows up, when  $1 < p \leq \frac{2+l}{l}$  and  $|b| \in PK(\beta)$

## 2. The parabolic Laplace equation with $u^p$ perturbation

In this item, we are going to illustrate methods of the perturbation theory on the example of a parabolic equation with operator Laplace. Let us consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = a \Delta u + u^p, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad (2)$$

The fundamental solution of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = a \Delta u$  for  $t > 0, x \in R^l$  is

$$p(t, x) = (4\pi at)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4\pi at}\right) \text{ for all } t > 0, x \in R^l.$$

It is easy to show that the integral equation

$$u(t, x) = \langle p(t, x - \cdot) u_0(\cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle p(t-s, x - \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds$$

Is equivalent to the Cauchy problem for the differential equation in the sense that every solution to the integral equation is also a solution to the differential equation, and, vice versa, every solution to the differential equation is also a solution to the integral equation?

**Theorem.** Assuming that  $u_0 \neq 0, u_0 \geq 0, u \in L^1(R^l)$  and  $1 < p \leq \frac{2+l}{l}$ , any nonnegative solution  $u(t, x)$ , to (2) blows up, i.e., there is a moment of time  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $u(t, x) = \infty, t \geq t_0, x \in R^l$ . Proof. Indeed, let  $u(t, x); (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^l$  be the nonnegative weak solution then we have an equality

$$u(t, x) = \langle p(t, x - \cdot) u_0(\cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle p(t-s, x - \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^l.$$

We are choosing  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $p(t_0, 0) \leq 1$  and we obtain

$$u(t + t_0, x) = \langle p(t, x - \cdot) u_0(t_0, \cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle p(t-s, x - \cdot) u^p(s + t_0, \cdot) \rangle ds$$

Then

$$u(t + t_0, x) \geq C p(t + t_0, x) + \int_0^t \langle p(t-s, x - \cdot) u^p(s + t_0, \cdot) \rangle ds \text{ And}$$

$$u(t+t_0, x) \geq C p(t+\tau, x) + \int_0^t \left\langle p(t-s, x-\cdot) u^p(s+t_0, \cdot) \right\rangle ds,$$

Thus it is enough to show that the solution

$$u(t, x) = C p(t+\tau, x) + \int_0^t \left\langle p(t-s, x-\cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \right\rangle ds$$

«blows up».

We multiply the last equality by  $p(t, x)$  and integrate over all space

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle p(t, x) u(t, x) \right\rangle &\geq C p(2t+\tau, 0) + \int_0^t \left\langle p(2t-s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \right\rangle ds \geq \\ &\geq C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) (2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\langle p(s, \cdot) (u(s, \cdot))^p \right\rangle ds. \\ &\geq C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) (2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\langle p(s, \cdot) u(s, \cdot) \right\rangle^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote  $\varphi(t) = \left\langle p(t, x) u(t, x) \right\rangle$  then we have

$$\varphi(t) \geq C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) (2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} (\varphi(s))^p ds$$

Assume that  $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{l}{2}} \varphi(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &\geq C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} + \int_{\varepsilon}^t \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{\phi(s)}{s^{\frac{l}{2}}}\right)^p ds \\ \phi(t) &\geq C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^t s^{-\frac{l}{2}(p-1)} (\phi(s))^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is equivalent to the differential problem:

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{(\phi(t))^p dt} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} t^{-\frac{l}{2}(p-1)}$$

$$\phi(\varepsilon) = C(4\pi a)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi a}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}}.$$

So, for  $1 < p$  and  $\frac{l}{2}(p-1) \leq 1$  the solution blows up in the bounded time interval.

### 3. Nonlinear parabolic equation with singular coefficients, the general case

We consider the Cauchy problem for the following parabolic equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = [\nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k] u + u^p, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x).$$

If the elliptic matrix depends on time, fundamental solutions to the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = [\nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k] u$$
 can be written in the form

$$p_1(t, x; \tau, z) = p_0(t, x - z; \tau, z) + \int_{\tau}^t d\eta \int p_0(t, x - y; \eta, y) F(\eta, y; \tau, z) dy$$

Where

$$p_0(t, x; \tau, y) = (2\pi)^{-l} \int \exp \left( ix\eta - \int_{\tau}^t a(\gamma, y) \eta^2 d\gamma \right) d\eta$$

Are fundamental solutions to the equation?

$$[\partial_t - \nabla_k a_{kj}(t, y) \nabla_j] u(t, x) = 0, \text{ here } F(\eta, y; \tau, z) \text{ is the heat kernel density.}$$

**Definition.** Let  $\chi$  be positive constant, function  $f \in L_{loc}^1(R^{l+1})$  belongs to Nash class  $N_{\varphi}^{\chi}$  if exists  $h > 0$  such that

$$n_{\varphi}(f; \chi, h) \equiv (n_{\varphi}^{+} + n_{\varphi}^{-})(f; \chi, h) < \infty, \text{ where}$$

$$n_{\varphi}^{+}(f; \chi, h) \equiv \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z, s} \int_s^{s+h} \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{4\pi\chi(\tau-s)} \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{|\cdot-z|^2}{4\chi(\tau-s)} \right) |f(\tau, \cdot)| \right\rangle \frac{d\tau}{\varphi(\tau-s)}$$

$$n_{\varphi}^{-}(f; \chi, h) \equiv \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z, t} \int_{t-h}^t \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{4\pi\chi(t-\tau)} \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{|\cdot-z|^2}{4\chi(t-\tau)} \right) |f(\tau, \cdot)| \right\rangle \frac{d\tau}{\varphi(t-\tau)},$$

and function  $\varphi: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  satisfies the conditions:  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , exists number  $C > 0$  such that integrals  $\int_0^C \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau$ ,

$\int_0^C \frac{d\tau}{\varphi(\tau)}, \int_0^C [\varphi'(\tau)]_+ d\tau$  are bounded. Function  $f \in L_{loc}^1(R^{l+1})$  belongs to the Nash class  $N^{\chi}$  [16] if there is a

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} n_1(f; \chi, h) \equiv n_1^{+}(f; \chi, h) + n_1^{-}(f; \chi, h) = 0.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $|b| \in N_2$  and the matrix  $a$  is uniformly elliptic then there are constants  $C, k$  such that

$$\int_0^t \|Be^{-sA}\varphi\|_{L^1} ds \leq cm(b^2; kt) \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \quad \forall \varphi \in L^1,$$

Where

$$m(b^2; kt) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in R^l} \int_0^t \left( e^{t\Delta} b^2(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \text{ and } D(B) \supset D(A_1),$$

and we have inequality for an operator norm

$$\left\| B(\lambda + A_1)^{-1} \right\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} \leq c(\lambda), \text{ where } \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} c(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for arbitrary } \lambda > 0.$$

Applying the Jean-Marie Duhamel principle to the differential operator  $\partial_t + A(t, x) + B(t, x)$ , we obtain equality

$$U_{t,s} = P_{t,s} - \int_s^t U_{t,\tau} B(\tau) P_{\tau,s} d\tau,$$

Where

$U_{t,s}$  is a propagator of the differential operator  $\partial_t + A(t, x) + B(t, x)$ , and  $P_{t,s}$  is a propagator of the operator  $\partial_t + A(t, x)$ . Since  $\|P_{t,s}\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} \leq 1$  for all  $t, s$  such that  $t - s \leq k$  for

$$\beta(\tau) = \sup_{\|\psi\|_1=1, s \geq 0} \int_s^{s+\tau} \|B(t)P_{t,s}\psi\|_1 dt < 1$$

Using the Fubini theorem, we are obtaining

$$\beta(\tau) \leq \sup_{x \in R^l, s \geq 0} \int_s^{s+\tau} \left\langle \left( b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla p(t, \cdot; s, x) \right) \right\rangle dt, \text{ and then we have inequality } \|U_{t,s}\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} \leq (1 - \beta(\tau))^{-1}.$$

Let  $p(t, x; s, y)$  be the heat kernel of the linear operator  $\partial_t - A(t, x)$ . The heat kernel of the heat equation  $\partial_t - \Delta$  is the Gaussian density  $G(t, x)$ . Under certain conditions, the operator  $\partial_t - A(t, x)$  can be considered as a perturbation of the operator  $\partial_t - \Delta$  so for the heat kernel  $p(t, x; s, y)$  the Gaussian estimations hold. Similarly, the operator  $\partial_t - A(t, x) + B(t, x)$  can be considered as a perturbation of the operator  $\partial_t - A(t, x)$  and so for the fundamental solution  $q(t, x; s, y)$  of the equation.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = [\nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k] u$$

Hold the next estimations

$\operatorname{const} p(t, x; s, y) \leq q(t, x; s, y) \leq \operatorname{Const} p(t, x; s, y)$ . So, for arbitrary  $x, y \in R^l, t - s \leq T \in R, l > 2$  we obtain the following estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
cG_{\delta}(t-s, x-y) &= c \left(4\pi\delta(t-s)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)\delta}\right) \leq \\
&\leq q(t, x; s, y) \leq C \left(4\pi\alpha(t-s)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)\alpha}\right) = \\
&= CG_{\alpha}(t-s, x-y).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $q(t, x; s, y)$  be a fundamental solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = [\nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k] u$$

for  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in R^l$  then we can write integral tautology  $u(t, x) = \langle q(t, x; 0, \cdot) u_0(\cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle q(t, x; s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds$ .

Moreover, the perturbation  $b(t, x) \nabla$  must satisfy the following integral estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^t \left\langle \left(4\pi\alpha(\tau-s)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-g|^2}{4(\tau-s)\alpha}\right) |b(\tau, g \nabla p(\tau, g s, y))| \right\rangle d\tau \leq \\
&\leq c_0 \left(4\pi\alpha(t-s)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)\alpha}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

Where constant can be obtained define according to the formula

$$C_0 = n_{\varphi}(v; \alpha_1, r) \left( \max_{\tau \in (0, r)} \varphi(\tau) + \int_0^r \left( |\varphi'(\tau)| + \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau} \right) dt \right) \text{ and } n_{\varphi}(v; \alpha_1, r) = \max_{\gamma \in \left\{ \alpha, 2\alpha, \frac{\alpha c}{\alpha - c} \right\}} n_{\varphi}(v; \gamma, r),$$

$$a \ n_{\varphi}(v; \gamma, r) := n_{\varphi}^{+}(v; \gamma, r) + n_{\varphi}^{-}(v; \gamma, r) < \infty,$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{\varphi}^{-}(v; \gamma, r) &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x, t} \int_{t-r}^t \left\langle \left(4\pi\gamma(t-\tau)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-g|^2}{4(t-\tau)\gamma}\right) |v(\tau, g)| \right\rangle \frac{d\tau}{\varphi(t-\tau)} \text{ And} \\
n_{\varphi}^{+}(v; \gamma, r) &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x, t} \int_t^{t+r} \left\langle \left(4\pi\gamma(\tau-t)\right)^{\frac{-l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x-g|^2}{4(\tau-t)\gamma}\right) |v(\tau, g)| \right\rangle \frac{d\tau}{\varphi(\tau-t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** Let  $|b| \in N_2$  and  $1 < p \leq \frac{2+l}{l}$  then the solution  $u(t, x); (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^l$  to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = [\nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k] u + u^p,$$



$u(\mathbf{0}, x) = u_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $u_0 \geq \mathbf{0}$  Blows up, that means there is a moment of time  $t_0 > \mathbf{0}$  such that  $u(t, x) = \infty$ ,  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $x \in R^l$ .

**Proof.** Assuming that function  $u(t, x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in [\mathbf{0}, \infty) \times R^l$  is a weak solution to the Cauchy problem for the parabolic nonlinear equation with the initial condition  $u(\mathbf{0}, x) = u_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $u_0 \geq \mathbf{0}$ , we write

$$u(t, x) = \langle q(t, x; \mathbf{0}, \cdot) u_0(\cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle q(t, x; s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds \quad \forall (t, x) \in [\mathbf{0}, \infty) \times R^l.$$

The function  $\hat{u}(t, x) = \langle q(t, x; \mathbf{0}, \cdot) u_0(\cdot) \rangle \quad \forall (t, x) \in [\mathbf{0}, \infty) \times R^l$  is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u} = \left[ \sum_{k, j=1, \dots, l} \nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k \right] \hat{u}, \quad \text{and function} \\ \hat{u}(\mathbf{0}, x) = u_0(x) \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\hat{u}(t, x) = \int_0^t \langle q(t, x; s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds \quad \forall (t, x) \in [\mathbf{0}, \infty) \times R^l$$

is a solution to following the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u} = \left[ \sum_{k, j=1, \dots, l} \nabla_k a_{kj}(t, x) \nabla_j - b_k(t, x) \nabla_k \right] \hat{u} + \hat{u}^p, \\ \hat{u}(\mathbf{0}, x) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let us choose a moment of time  $t_0 > \mathbf{0}$  such that  $q(t_0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \leq \mathbf{1}$  and

$$u(t + t_0, x) = \langle q(t, x, \mathbf{0}, \cdot) u_0(t_0, \cdot) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle q(t, x, s, \cdot) u^p(s + t_0, \cdot) \rangle ds$$

so we have to show that the solution to the integral equation

$$u(t, x) = C q(t, x, \tau, \mathbf{0}) + \int_0^t \langle q(t, x, s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle ds$$

Blows up.

We multiply the last equality by  $q(t, x, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$  and integrate over all space

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t, x, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) u(t, x) \rangle_x &\geq c G_\delta(2t + \tau, \mathbf{0}) + \int_0^t \left\langle \langle q(t, x, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) q(t, x, s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \rangle \right\rangle_x ds \geq \\ &\geq c G_\delta(2t + \tau, \mathbf{0}) + C_l \int_0^t \left\langle \left\langle G_\delta(t, x) G_\delta(t - s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \right\rangle \right\rangle_x ds = \\ &\geq c G_\delta(2t + \tau, \mathbf{0}) + C_l \int_0^t \left\langle G_\delta(2t - s, \cdot) u^p(s, \cdot) \right\rangle ds \geq \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right)(2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + C_l \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\langle G_\delta(s, \cdot) (u(s, \cdot))^p \right\rangle ds.$$

$$\geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right)(2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + C_l \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\langle G_\delta(s, \cdot) (u(s, \cdot)) \right\rangle^p ds.$$

Next, applying Gaussian upper estimation, we have

$$K_l \left\langle G_\delta(t, \cdot) u(t, \cdot) \right\rangle \geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right)(2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + C_l \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t-s}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\langle G_\delta(s, \cdot) (u(s, \cdot)) \right\rangle^p ds.$$

Let us denote

$$\varphi(t) = \left\langle G_\delta(t, \cdot) u(t, \cdot) \right\rangle = \left\langle (4\pi\delta t)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|\cdot|^2}{4\delta t}\right) u(t, \cdot) \right\rangle.$$

Then

$$\varphi(t) \geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right)(2t+\tau)^{-\frac{l}{2}} + C_l \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{2t}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} (\varphi(s))^p ds$$

Take  $\phi(t) = t^{\frac{l}{2}} \varphi(t)$ , we obtain

$$\phi(t) \geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} + \int_\varepsilon^t \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{\phi(s)}{s^{\frac{l}{2}}}\right)^p ds$$

$$\phi(t) \geq c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_\varepsilon^t s^{-\frac{l}{2}(p-1)} (\phi(s))^p ds$$

Thus, we have obtained the following differential problem

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{(\phi(t))^p dt} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} t^{-\frac{l}{2}(p-1)}$$

$$\phi(\varepsilon) = c(4\pi\delta)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\delta}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon+\tau}\right)^{\frac{l}{2}}.$$

We can consider the value  $\phi(\varepsilon)$  as the initial value of the ordinary differential equation, the solution of this equation blows up when  $1 < p$  and  $\frac{l}{2}(p-1) \leq 1$  so the solution of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation blows up on bounded time interval.

### Conclusion

In this equation, we have established the condition on the perturbation under which the Cauchy problem for the parabolic partial differential equation with  $u^p$  - perturbation blows up for  $1 < p \leq \frac{2+l}{l}$ .

### References

1. Semenov Yu A. A regularity theorem for parabolic equations / Yu.A. Semenov // J. Function Analysis, V.7., P. 311-322.
2. Brézis H., Pazy A. Semigroups of non-linear contractions on convex sets / H.Brézis, A. Pazy // J. Func. Anal. 1970;6:237–281.
3. Browder FE. Existence of periodic solutions for nonlinear equations of evolution / F.E. Browder // Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. The USA. 1965;53:1100-1103.
4. Browder FE. Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space / F.E. Browder // Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. The USA. – 1965;54:1041-1044.
5. Minty G. On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations / G. Minty // Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1967;73(3):315-321.
6. Miyadera I. On perturbation theory for semi-groups of operators / I. Miyadera // Tohoku Math. J. 1966;18:299-310.
7. Nash J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations / J. Nash // Amer. J. Math 1958;80:931-954.
8. Pokhozhaev S. I. On a boundary value problem for the equation  $\Delta u = u^2$  / Pokhozhaev S. I. // Dokl. Akad. Nauk 1961;138(2):305-308.
9. Oleinik OA. On the equation  $Au + k(x)u = 0$  / Oleinik O. A. // Usp. Mat. Nauk 1978;33(2):203-204.
10. Kametaka I, Oleinik OA. On asymptotic properties and necessary conditions for the existence of solutions of second-order nonlinear elliptic equations / Kametaka I., Oleinik O. A. // Mat. Sb 1978;107(4):572-600.
11. Chistyakov VV. On some qualitative properties of the solutions of a non-divergent semilinear second-order parabolic equation /. Chistyakov V. V // [Russian Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 41, № 5.](#)
12. Felmer P, Quaas A. Boundary blow-up solutions for fractional elliptic equations, Asym. Anal 2012;78:123-144.
13. Felmer P, Quaas A. Fundamental solutions and Liouville type theorems for nonlinear integral operators. Advances in Mathematics – 2011;226(3):2712-2738.
14. Levine HA, Payne LE. Nonexistence of global weak solutions for classes of nonlinear wave and parabolic equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1976;55:329-334.
15. Chen SH, Yu DM. Global existence and blowup solutions for quasilinear parabolic equations, J Math. Anal. Appl 2007;335:151-167.
16. Yaremenko MI. The existence of solution of the wave equation in  $L^p(R^1, d^1x)$  spaces with singular coefficients / M.I.Yaremenko // Global Journal of Mathematics 2016;8:817-835.