



Journal of Mathematical Problems, Equations and Statistics

E-ISSN: 2709-9407
 P-ISSN: 2709-9393
 JMPES 2021; 2(1): 01-12
 © 2021 JMPES
www.mathematicaljournal.com
 Received: 02-01-2021
 Accepted: 03-03-2021

Dilshod Barakaev
 Department of Higher
 Mathematics, Bukhara
 Technological Institute of
 Engineering, Bukhara,
 Uzbekistan

Numerical solution of non-linear non-local problems for elliptic equations

Dilshod Barakaev

Abstract

A non-local problem for an elliptic equation in a rectangular domain was investigated. A rectangular grid for the corresponding difference problem was constructed and the error of the approximate solutions of non-local problems was estimated. Various application problems (heat conductivity [1, 2, 3], fluid mechanics [4], the theory of elasticity and shells [5], etc.) are reduced to non-local boundary value problems. Non-local boundary conditions are especially difficult for justification of classical finite difference schemes due to the complexity of the structure of the matrices obtained from systems of equations. This difficulty manifests itself especially in the justification of numerical methods in the case of non-linear equations. In this paper we consider the non-local boundary value problem for a quasi-linear equation. We found the numerical solutions of stated problem using the finite difference method, and estimated the error of the approximate solutions of non-local problems.

Keywords: Non-local, estimated error, difference problem, difference operator, non-linear.

Introduction

Let $\Omega = \{0 < x < a, 0 < y < b\}$. Denote by $\Gamma^1 = \{0 \leq x \leq a, y = b\}$, $\Gamma^2 = \{x = 0, 0 < y < b\}$,

$\Gamma^3 = \{0 \leq x \leq a, y = 0\}$, $\Gamma^4 = \{x = a, 0 < y < b\}$, $\Gamma^l = \{x = l, 0 < y < b, 0 < l < b\}$, $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma^i$,

$\sigma = \Gamma^1 \cup \Gamma^3$, $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$.

Suppose that $f(x, y, z, p, q)$ is a given continuous function determined $\forall (x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and for all z, p, q . We'll assume that the partial derivatives of f'_z, f'_p, f'_q exists and satisfies

$$f'_z \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

$$|f'_p|, |f'_q| \leq M < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Let $L[u] \equiv \Delta u - f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$. Assume that φ, ψ are the given continuous functions of their domain definitions.

We need to find a function $u(x, y)$ continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$, twice continuously differentiable in Ω , satisfying the equation

$$L[u] = 0 \quad (3)$$

And the boundary conditions

$$u|_{\sigma} = \varphi, \quad (4)$$

$$l[u] = u(l, y) - \alpha(y)u(a, y) = \psi(y), \quad 0 < y < b, \quad (5)$$

Correspondence
Dilshod Barakaev
 Department of Higher
 Mathematics, Bukhara
 Technological Institute of
 Engineering, Bukhara,
 Uzbekistan

$$\alpha(y) \geq 1, 0 < y < b, \quad (6)$$

$$l^{(1)}[u] = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \beta(y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \delta(y)u \right) \Big|_{\Gamma^2} = \gamma(y), \delta(y) \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

Let $h_1 = \frac{a}{N_1}, h_2 = \frac{b}{N_2}$. We construct a grid area with lines $x = x_i, y = y_j, i = \overline{0, N_1}, j = \overline{0, N_2}$ and let $x_k < l < x_{k+1}$.

We introduce the denotation

$$\Omega_h = \{(x_i, y_j): i = \overline{1, N_1 - 1}, j = \overline{1, N_2 - 1}\},$$

$$\Gamma_h^1 = \{(x_i, b): i = \overline{1, N_1}\}, \Gamma_h^2 = \{(0, y_j): j = \overline{1, N_2 - 1}\},$$

$$\Gamma_h^3 = \{(x_i, 0): i = \overline{1, N_1}\}, \Gamma_h^4 = \{(a, y_j): j = \overline{1, N_2 - 1}\},$$

$$\sigma_h = \Gamma_h^1 \cup \Gamma_h^3, \Gamma_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_h^i, \overline{\Omega}_h = \Omega_h \cup \Gamma_h.$$

We approximate the operators L and l difference operators L_h, l_h defined as follows:

$$L_h[u_{ij}] \equiv \Delta_h[u_{ij}] - f(x_i, y_j, u_{ij}, D_{h_1 x^\alpha}[u_{ij}], D_{h_2 y^\alpha}[u_{ij}]), \quad (8)$$

$$l_h[u_{N_1 j}] \equiv \frac{l - x_k}{h_1} u_{k+1 j} + \frac{x_{k+1} - l}{h_1} u_k - \alpha_j u_{N_1 j}, \quad (9)$$

Where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta_h[u_{ij}] = u_{xx} + u_{yy}, u_{xx} = \frac{u_{i+1j} - 2u_{ij} + u_{i-1j}}{h_1^2} \\ u_{yy} = \frac{u_{ij+1} - 2u_{ij} + u_{ij-1}}{h_2^2}, D_{h_1 x^0}[u_{ij}] = \frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{i-1j}}{2h_1} \\ D_{h_2 y^0}[u_{ij}] = \frac{u_{ij+1} - u_{ij-1}}{2h_2}. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

We formulate a difference problem corresponding to the stated problem to find a function U that is defined in $\overline{\Omega}_h$ such that

$$L_h[U_{ij}] = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_h, \quad (11)$$

$$l_h[U_{N_1 j}] = \psi_j \text{ in } \Gamma_h^4, \quad (12)$$

$$U_{ij} = \varphi_{ij} \text{ in } \sigma_h, \quad (13)$$

$$l_h^{(1)}[U_{0j}] = \frac{U_{ij} - U_{0j}}{h_1} + \beta_j^+ \frac{U_{0j+1} - U_{0j}}{h_2} + \beta_j^- \frac{U_{0j} - U_{0j-1}}{h_2} + \delta_j U_{0j} = \gamma_j \text{ in } \Gamma_h^2, \quad (14)$$

Where

$$\beta_j^+ = \frac{\beta_j + |\beta_j|}{2} \geq 0, \beta_j^- = \frac{\beta_j - |\beta_j|}{2} \leq 0.$$

We'll assume that the domain $\overline{\Omega}_h$ is connected and the satisfies inequality

$$M_h < 2\theta, \tag{15}$$

Where

$$h = \max \{h_1, h_2\}, 0 < \theta < 1 - \text{a some fixed number.}$$

Results

Consider the linear difference operator

$$\Lambda_h[U_{ij}] = \begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[U_{ij}] \text{ in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[U_{N_1j}] \text{ in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ l_h^{(1)}[U_{0j}] \text{ in } \Gamma_h^2 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Where

$$\Lambda'_h[U_{ij}] = \Delta_h[U_{ij}] + \xi_{ij} D_{h_1 x} [U_{ij}] + \eta_{ij} D_{h_2 y} [U_{ij}] - \mu_{ij} U_{ij}, |\xi_i|, |\eta_{ij}| \leq M, \tag{17}$$

$$\mu_{ij} \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Due to the standard scheme the following lemma is proved.

Lemma 1. Let $V \neq const$ be a function defined in $\overline{\Omega}_h$, and satisfying $\Lambda_h[V] \geq 0$ ($\Lambda_h[V] \leq 0$). Then V it may take the greatest positive (least negative) value only at the nodal points of the σ_h .
Let U be an approximate solution of the problem (11)-(14).

Theorem 1. Let the current solution u of (3)-(7) has limited third derivatives in Ω and second derivatives are continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the error $\varepsilon_{ij} = u_{ij} - U_{ij}$ of the approximate solution satisfies the equation $\varepsilon_{ij} = O(h)$.

Proof. On the basis of Taylor's formula, we have

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[\varepsilon_{ij}] = O(h) \text{ in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[\varepsilon_{N_1j}] = O(h^2) \text{ in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} = 0, \text{ in } \sigma_h \\ l_h^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}] = O(h) \text{ in } \Gamma_h^2. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

We represent the solution of (19) as

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}^1 + \varepsilon_{ij}^2, \tag{20}$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[\varepsilon_{ij}^1] = O(h) \text{ in } \Omega_h \\ \varepsilon_{N_1j}^1 = 0 \text{ in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^1 = 0, \text{ in } \sigma_h \\ l_h^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}^1] = O(h) \text{ in } \Gamma_h^2. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[\varepsilon_{ij}^2] = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^2] = -l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^1]O(h^2) & \text{in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^2 = 0, & \text{in } \sigma_h \\ l_h^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}^2] = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

First, we estimate the system (21). Consider the function

$$g(x, y) = \frac{1}{K} (e^{v_0 a} - e^{v_0 x}),$$

Where

$$v_0 = \frac{M}{\theta} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{3\theta - \theta^2}{2}\right), \quad k = \mu_0 v_0, \quad \mu_0 = \min\left\{1, \frac{M}{2}(1 - \theta)\right\}.$$

It is easy to verify, that

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[g_{ij}] \leq -1 & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ l_h^{(1)}[g_{0j}] \leq -1 & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

On the basis of (21), (23) and Lemma 1 we get that the function

$$G_{ij}^\pm = c \cdot h \cdot g_{ij} \pm \varepsilon_{ij}^1 \text{ is positive on } \overline{\Omega} \text{ (for the selected finite constant } C).$$

From this inequality it follows that

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}_h} |\varepsilon_{ij}^1| \leq C_1 h, \quad C_1 = \text{const} > 0. \tag{24}$$

Denote by $w = \max_{\Gamma_h^4} |\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^2|$ and let the $\overline{\omega}_{ij}$ – be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda'_h[\overline{\omega}_{ij}] = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_h, \\ \overline{\omega}_{N_{1j}} = w & \text{in } \Gamma_h^4, \\ \overline{\omega}_{ij} = 0 & \text{in } \sigma_h, \\ l_h^{(1)}[\overline{\omega}_{0j}] = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$|\varepsilon_{ij}^2| \leq \overline{\omega}_{ij} \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_h, \tag{25}$$

$$\overline{\omega}_{ij} \leq \tau_i w, \quad 0 < \tau_i < 1 \text{ in } \Omega_h \tag{26}$$

On the other hand

$$l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^2] = -l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^1] + O(h^2) \text{ in } \Gamma_h^4.$$

Hence, respectively to (25), (26) we have

$$\alpha_j |\varepsilon_{N_{1j}}^2| \leq \frac{l-x_k}{h_1} |\varepsilon_{k+1j}^2| + \frac{x_{k+1}-l}{h_1} |\varepsilon_{kj}^2| + \frac{l-x_k}{h_1} |\varepsilon_{k+1j}^1| + \frac{x_{k+1}-l}{h_1} |\varepsilon_{k+1j}^1| + C_2 h^2$$

Or

$$\alpha_j w \leq \tau w + C_1 h + C_2 h,$$

Where

$$\tau = \max\{\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k\}.$$

Hence we have

$$w \leq \frac{C_3 h}{\alpha_j - \tau} \leq C_4 h, \tag{27}$$

Where

$$C_4 = \frac{C_3}{\min_j(\alpha_j - \tau)}.$$

Then from (25)-(27) we have

$$\max_{\Omega_h} |\varepsilon_{ij}^2| \leq C_5 h, \quad C_5 = \max_i \tau_i C_4. \tag{28}$$

Based on (20), (24) and (28) we have

$$\max_{\Omega_h} |\varepsilon_{ij}| \leq C_6 h, \tag{29}$$

Where

$$C_6 = C_1 + C_5.$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

Below we show that by imposing additional conditions on the function $\beta(y)$, $\delta(y)$ the order of accuracy with in h_2 can be improved.

As can be seen from the above, it is sufficient to increase the order of approximation of the operator $l_h^{(1)}$.

Assume, that $h_1 = wh^2$ ($0 < w \leq 1$) and $\beta(y)$, $\delta(y)$ satisfy one of the following conditions

$$|\beta(y)| < w, \tag{30}$$

$$|\beta(y)| \geq w, \quad \delta'(y) \leq 0, \tag{31}$$

$$|\beta(y)| \leq -w, \quad \delta'(y) \geq 0. \tag{32}$$

Consider the operators

$$l_{1h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] \equiv \frac{U_{1j} - U_{0j}}{h_1} + \beta_j \frac{U_{0j+1}U_{0j-1}}{2h_2} + \delta_j U_{0j}, \tag{33}$$

$$l_{2h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] \equiv \frac{U_{1j} - U_{0j}}{h_1} + \beta_j \frac{U_{0j+1} - U_{0j}}{h_2} + \delta_j U_{0j}, \tag{34}$$

$$l_{3h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] \equiv \frac{U_{1j} - U_{0j}}{h_1} + \beta_j \frac{U_{0j} - U_{0j-1}}{h_2} + \delta_j U_{0j}. \tag{35}$$

Let

$$\left| \frac{\partial^p u_{0j}}{\partial x^p} \right|_{(0,j)}, \left| \frac{\partial^p u_{0j}}{\partial y^p} \right|_{(0,j)} \leq M_j^{(p)}, \quad (p \geq 1).$$

Taking into account (3), (7), (33) and applying the Taylor formula is easy to see that

$$\left| \bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)} u_{0j} - (l^{(1)} u)_{(0,j)} \right| \leq c^{(1)} h_2^2, \tag{36}$$

Where

$$\bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)} u_{0j} \equiv l_{1h}^{(1)} u_{0j} + \frac{h_1(u_{0j+1} - 2u_{0j} + u_{0j-1})}{2h_2^2} - \frac{h_1}{2} f\{0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1x}[u_{0j}], D_{h_2y}[u_{0j}]\},$$

$$D_{h_1x}[u_{ij}] = \frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{ij}}{h_1}, \quad D_{h_2y}[u_{ij}] = \frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{ij}}{h_2}, \quad C^{(1)} = \max_j \left\{ \frac{2(w^2 + w + \beta) + h_1 M}{12} M_j^{(3)} + \frac{w^2 M}{4} M_j^{(2)} \right\}.$$

Indeed from (33) we have:

$$l_{1h}^{(1)} u_{0j} = (l^{(1)} u)_{(0,j)} + \frac{h_1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} + R_j^{(1)}, \quad R_j^{(1)} = \frac{h_1^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(1)}, j)} + \frac{h_2^2}{12} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(1)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(2)})} \right] \beta_j.$$

From (3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} &= -\frac{u_{0j+1} - 2u_{0j} + u_{0j-1}}{h_2^2} + f\left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1x}[u_{0j}], D_{h_2y}[u_{0j}]\right) - \\ &- \frac{h_2}{6} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(4)})} \right] + f'_p(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(2)}, j)} \frac{h_1}{2} + \\ &+ \frac{h_2^2}{12} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(4)})} \right] f'_q(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account this $l_{1h}^{(1)} u_{ij}$, we get:

$$l_{1h}^{(1)} u_{0j} = (l^{(1)} u)_{(0,j)} - \frac{h_1(u_{0j+1} - 2u_{0j} + u_{0j-1})}{2h_2^2} + \frac{h_1}{2} f\left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1x}[u_{0j}], D_{h_2y}[u_{0j}]\right) + \bar{R}_j^{(1)},$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_j^{(1)} &= \frac{h_1^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(1)}, j)} + \frac{h_2^2}{12} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(1)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(2)})} \right] \beta_j - \frac{h_1 h_2}{12} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(4)})} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{h_1^2}{4} f'_p(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(2)}, j)} + \frac{h_1 h_2^2}{24} f'_q(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(4)})} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find that

$$\bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)}u_{0j} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} + \bar{R}_j^{(1)}, \text{ consequently } |\bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)}u_{0j} - (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)}| \leq |\bar{R}_j^{(1)}|$$

And this implies

(36).

Now we prove that

$$|\bar{l}_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} - (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)}| \leq C^{(2)}h_2^2, \tag{37}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{l}_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} \equiv & l_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} + \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1 h_2 xy}[u_{0j}] + \frac{\delta_j}{\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) D_{h_2 y}[u_{0j}] + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) u_{0j} - \\ & - \frac{\gamma'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) - \frac{h_1}{2} f(0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1 x}[u_{0j}], D_{h_2 y}[u_{0j}]), \end{aligned}$$

$$C^{(2)} = \max_j \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta_j + w^2}{6} + \frac{(\beta_j - w)(1-w)}{4\beta_j} + \frac{h_1 M}{12} \right] M_i^{(3)} + \left[\frac{|\delta_j + \beta'_j|(\beta_j - w)}{4\beta_j} + \frac{w^2 M}{4} \right] M_j^{(2)} \right\},$$

$$D_{h_1 h_2 xy}[u_{0j}] = D_{h_1 x} \{ D_{h_2 y}[u_{0j}] \}.$$

Suppose that $\beta(y) \neq 0$. Then from (7) we have:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(0, u)}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{1}{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial^2 u(0, y)}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\delta'(y)}{\beta(y)} u(0, y) - \frac{\delta(y) + \beta'_j}{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial u(0, y)}{\partial y} + \frac{\gamma'(y)}{\beta(y)}. \tag{38}$$

Obviously

$$l_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} = (l_3 u)_{(0,j)} + \frac{h_1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} + \frac{h_2}{2} \beta_j \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} + R_j^{(2)},$$

Where

$$R_j^{(2)} = \frac{h_1^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(1)}, j)} + \beta_j \frac{h_2^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_0^{(1)})}.$$

From (3) we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} + f \left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right).$$

Then

$$l_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} + \frac{1}{2} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} + \frac{h_1}{2} f \left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right) + R_j^{(2)}.$$

Taking into account

(38)

$$l_{2h}^{(1)}u_{0j} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} + \frac{1}{2} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) \left[-\frac{1}{\beta_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} - \frac{\delta'_j}{\beta_j} u_{0j} - \frac{\delta_j + \beta'_j}{\beta_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} + \frac{\gamma_j}{\beta_j} \right] +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{h_1}{2} f \left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right) + R_j^{(2)} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} - \frac{1}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} - \\
 & - \frac{\delta_j + \beta_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} - \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) u_{0j} + \frac{\gamma'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) + \\
 & + \frac{h_1}{2} f \left(0, y_j, u_j, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right) + R_j^{(2)} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1 h_2 xy} [u_{0j}] - \\
 & - \frac{\delta_j + \beta'_j}{\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}] - \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) u_{0j} + \frac{\gamma'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) + \\
 & + \frac{h_1}{2} f (y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1 x} [u_{0j}], D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}]) + \bar{R}_j^{(2)},
 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{R}_j^{(2)} = R_j^{(2)} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{4\beta_j} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^2 \partial y} h_1 - \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} h_2 \right] - \frac{\delta_j + \beta'_j}{4\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) h_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(2)})} + \\
 + \frac{h_1^2}{4} f'_p (0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(2)}, j)} + \frac{h_1 h_2^2}{24} f'_q (0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(2)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \bar{l}_{2h}^{(1)} u_{0j} - (l_3 u)_{(0,j)} \right| \leq \left| \bar{R}_j^{(2)} \right|.$$

This implies

(37).

Finally we prove that

$$\left| \bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} - (l_3 u)_{(0,j)} \right| \leq C^{(2)} h_2^2, \tag{39}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^{(3)} = \left(\frac{|w^2 + \beta|}{6} + \frac{|w + \beta| (w + 1)}{2|\beta|} + \frac{h_1 M}{12} \right) M_3 + \left(\frac{|w + \beta| |\delta + \beta'|}{4|\beta|} + \frac{w^2 M}{4} \right) M_2, \\
 \bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} \equiv l_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1 h_2 xy} [u_{0j}] - \frac{\delta_j}{\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}] - \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) u_{0j} + \\
 + \frac{\gamma'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) + \frac{h_1}{2} f (0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1 x} [u_{0j}], D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}]), \quad D_{h_1 h_2 xy} [u_{0j}] = D_{h_1 x} \{ D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}] \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed

$$l_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} = (l^{(1)}u)_{(0,j)} - \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0,j)} - \frac{h_1}{2} f \left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right) + R_j^{(3)},$$

$$R_j^{(3)} = \frac{h_1^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(1)}, j)} + \beta_j \frac{h_2^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(1)})}.$$

Taking into account

(38)

$$l_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} = (l^{(1)} u)_{(0,j)} + \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2\beta_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2} \frac{\delta'_j}{\beta_j} u_{0j} + \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2} \cdot \frac{\delta_j + \beta'_j}{\beta_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} -$$

$$- \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2} \cdot \frac{\gamma'_j}{\beta_j} - \frac{h_1}{2} f \left(0, y_j, u_{0j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(0,j)}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{(0,j)} \right) + R_j^{(3)},$$

$$\bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} \equiv l_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} + \frac{\beta_j h_2 + h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1 h_2 x \bar{y}} [u_{0j}] + \frac{(\delta_j + \beta'_j)}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) D_{h_2 \bar{y}} [u_{0j}] + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) u_{0j} -$$

$$- \frac{\gamma'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) - \frac{h_1}{2} f(0, y_j, u_{0j}, D_{h_1 x} [u_{0j}], D_{h_2 y} [u_{0j}]) + \bar{R}_j^{(3)},$$

Where

$$\bar{R}_j^{(3)} = R_j^{(3)} + \frac{h_1 + h_2 \beta_j}{2\beta_j} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^2 \partial y} h_1 + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} h_2 \right] + \frac{(h_1 + h_2 \beta_j)(\delta_j + \beta'_j)}{2\beta_j} h^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(2)})} -$$

$$- \frac{h_1^2}{4} f'_p(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{(\xi_0^{(2)}, j)} - \frac{h_1 h_2^2}{24} f'_q(0, y_j, u_{0j}, p_j, q_j) \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(3)})} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \Big|_{(0, \eta_j^{(4)})} \right].$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)} u_{0j} - (l^{(1)} u)_{(0,j)} \right| \leq \left| \bar{R}_j^{(3)} \right|,$$

Which was required to prove.

We now state the difference problem corresponding to the problem (3)-(7).

It is required to find a discrete function $U_{ij}^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$) determined in $\bar{\Omega}_h$ satisfying the properties (11) - (13), and one of the following conditions

$$\bar{l}_{kh}^{(1)} U_{0j} = \gamma_j \quad (j = \overline{1, N_2 - 1}, k = 1, 2, 3) \tag{40}$$

Respectively, when one of the conditions (30), (31) and (32) is satisfied. The solution of the difference scheme (11) - (13), with one of the conditions (40) will be taken as an approximate solution of the problem (3) - (7) at the points $\bar{\Omega}_h$. Consider the following linear difference operators:

$$\Lambda_h^{(k)} [U_{ij}] = \begin{cases} \bar{L}_h [U_{ij}], \\ l_h [U_{N_1 j}], \\ \bar{l}_{kh}^{(1)} [U_{0j}], \quad (k = 1, 2, 3) \end{cases}$$

Where

$$\bar{L}_h [U_{ij}] \equiv \Delta_h [U_{ij}] + \xi_{ij} D_{h_1 x} [U_{ij}] + \eta_{ij} D_{h_2 y} [U_{ij}] - \mu_{ij} U_{ij},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] &\equiv l_{1h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] + \frac{h_1(U_{0j+1} + 2U_{0j} + U_{0j-1})}{2h_2^2} - \frac{h_1}{2} \left[\xi_{0j} D_{h_1x}[U_{0j}] + \eta_{0j} D_{h_2y}[U_{0j}] - \mu_{0j} U_{0j} \right], \\ \bar{l}_{2h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] &\equiv l_{2h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] + \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1h_2xy}[U_{0j}] + \frac{\delta_j}{\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) D_{h_2y}[U_{0j}] + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) U_{0j} - \\ &- \frac{h_1}{2} \left[\xi_{0j} D_{h_1x}[U_{0j}] + \eta_{0j} D_{h_2y}[U_{0j}] - \mu_{0j} U_{0j} \right], \\ \bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] &\equiv l_{3h}^{(1)}[U_{0j}] - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j} D_{h_1h_2xy}[U_{0j}] - \frac{\delta_j}{\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) D_{h_2y}[U_{0j}] + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) U_{0j} + \\ &+ \frac{h_1}{2} \left[\xi_{0j} D_{h_1x}[U_{0j}] + \eta_{0j} D_{h_2y}[U_{0j}] - \mu_{0j} U_{0j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that if (30) is satisfied, then

$$Mh_2 < 2(1 - \sup |\beta(x)|), \tag{41}$$

and if the (31), (32) are satisfied, then

$$\bar{M}h_2 < 1, \tag{42}$$

Where

$$\bar{M} = \max \left\{ \frac{M}{1 + (\sup |\beta|)^{-1}}, \frac{M + \sup \left(\frac{|\beta| + 1}{|\beta|} |\beta' + \delta| \right)}{\inf |\beta| + (\sup |\beta|)^{-1}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2. Let $V \neq const$ be a function defined in $\bar{\Omega}_h$, that satisfies the inequality $\Lambda_h^{(k)}[V_{ij}] \geq 0$ ($\Lambda_h^{(k)}[V_{ij}] \leq 0$) $k = 1, 2, 3$. Then V may take the greatest positive (least negative) value only at the points σ_h .

Proof. It's obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{l}_{1h}^{(1)}[U_{ij}] &\equiv A_{1j}^{(1)}U_{ij} + A_{2j}^{(1)}U_{0j-1} + A_{3j}^{(1)}U_{0j+1} - A_{0j}^{(1)}U_{0j}, \\ \bar{l}_{2h}^{(1)}[U_{ij}] &\equiv A_{1j}^{(2)}U_{ij} + A_{2j}^{(2)}U_{0j-1} + A_{3j}^{(2)}U_{0j+1} - A_{0j}^{(2)}U_{0j}, \\ \bar{l}_{3h}^{(1)}[U_{ij}] &\equiv A_{1j}^{(3)}U_{ij} + A_{2j}^{(3)}U_{0j-1} + A_{3j}^{(3)}U_{0j+1} - A_{0j}^{(3)}U_{0j}, \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0j}^{(1)} &= \frac{h_1}{h_2^2} + \frac{1}{h_1} - \delta_j + \frac{\xi_j}{2} + \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j, \quad A_{1j}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{h_1} \left(1 - \frac{h_1}{2} \xi_j \right), \\ A_{2j}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2h_2} \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} - \beta_j - \frac{h_1}{2} \eta_j \right), \quad A_{3j}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2h_2} \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} + \beta_j + \frac{h_1}{2} \eta_j \right), \\ A_{0j}^{(2)} &= \beta_j \left(\frac{1}{h_1} + \frac{1}{h_2} \right) - \delta_j - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} + \frac{\delta_j}{h_2 \beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) - \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) - \frac{\xi_j}{2} - \frac{h_1 \eta_j}{2h_2} - \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{1j}^{(2)} = \frac{\beta_j}{h_1} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} - \frac{\xi_j}{2}, \quad A_{2j}^{(2)} = \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1}, \quad A_{3j}^{(2)} = \frac{\beta_j}{h_2} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} + \frac{\delta_j}{h_2 \beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) - \frac{h_1 \eta_j}{2h_2},$$

$$A_{0j}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{h_1} - \frac{\beta_j}{h_2} - \delta_j - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} + \frac{\delta_j}{h_2 \beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) + \frac{\xi_j}{2} - \frac{h_1 \eta_j}{2h_2} + \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j$$

$$A_{1j}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{h_1} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} + \frac{\xi_j}{2}, \quad A_{2j}^{(3)} = -\frac{\beta_j}{h_2} - \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1} + \frac{\delta_j}{h_2 \beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) - \frac{h_1 \eta_j}{2h_2}, \quad A_{3j}^{(3)} = \frac{\beta_j h_2 - h_1}{2\beta_j h_2 h_1}.$$

All these coefficients are positive and satisfy the following conditions:

$$A_{0j}^{(1)} - A_{1j}^{(1)} - A_{2j}^{(1)} - A_{3j}^{(1)} = -\delta_j + \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j \geq 0,$$

$$A_{0j}^{(2)} - A_{1j}^{(2)} - A_{2j}^{(2)} - A_{3j}^{(2)} = -\delta_j - \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j - \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 - h_1) \geq 0,$$

$$A_{0j}^{(3)} - A_{1j}^{(3)} - A_{2j}^{(3)} - A_{3j}^{(3)} = -\delta_j + \frac{\delta'_j}{2\beta_j} (\beta_j h_2 + h_1) + \frac{h_1}{2} \mu_j \geq 0.$$

Taking into account these properties of the coefficients, applying Lemma 1 we obtain Lemma 2.

Corollary. Lemma 2 implies that the solution of (11)-(13) (40) is unique.

Theorem 2. Let u the exact solution of the problem (3)-(7) limited the fourth derivatives and continued in the third derivative $\bar{\Omega}$. Then the error $\varepsilon_{ij} = u_{ij} - U_{ij}$, where U_{ij} - the approximate solution of (11)-(13), (40), the estimate $\varepsilon = O(h_2)$.

Proof. With the help of Taylor's formula for the error $\varepsilon_{ij} = u_{ij} - U_{ij}$ we have:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{L}_h[\varepsilon_{ij}] = O(h^2) & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{ij}}] = O(h^2) & \text{in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} = 0, & \text{in } \sigma_h \\ \bar{l}_{kh}^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}] = O(h^2), & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2, k = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we represent the solution of the system (43) of the form $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}^1 + \varepsilon_{ij}^2$,

Where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{L}_h[\varepsilon_{ij}^1] = O(h^2) & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{ij}}^1] = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^1 = 0, & \text{in } \sigma_h \\ \bar{l}_{kh}^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}^1] = O(h^2), & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2, k = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{L}_h[\varepsilon_{ij}^2] = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{ij}}^2] = -l_h[\varepsilon_{N_{ij}}^1] + O(h^2) & \text{in } \Gamma_h^4 \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^2 = 0, & \text{in } \sigma_h \\ \bar{l}_{kh}^{(1)}[\varepsilon_{0j}^2] = 0, & \text{in } \Gamma_h^2, k = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

An estimate of $\max_{\Omega_h} |\varepsilon_h^1| \leq c_7 h^2$ for the solutions system of (44) is obtained on the basis of Lemma 2, due to scheme of proof

of Theorem 1 by the majorant function $g(x, y) = \frac{e^{v_0 a} - e^{v_0 x}}{k}$ and the parameters k and v_0 are selected as follows:

$$k = \mu_0 v_0, \quad \mu_0 = \min\{\alpha^0, M\beta^0\}, \quad \alpha^0 = \begin{cases} \sup |\beta| & \text{if } |\beta| < 1 \\ \frac{1-\theta}{2} & \text{if } |\beta| \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad \beta^0 = \begin{cases} \sup |\beta| & \text{if } |\beta| < 1 \\ 1-\theta & \text{if } |\beta| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$v_0 = \frac{2M}{\bar{\delta}} \operatorname{arcth} \left(\frac{2\bar{\delta} - \bar{\delta}^2}{2} \right), \quad \bar{\delta} = \begin{cases} 1 - \sup |\beta| & \text{if } |\beta| < 1 \\ \theta & \text{if } |\beta| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

An estimate of $\max_{\Omega_h} |\varepsilon_h^2| \leq c_8 h^2$ for the solutions of the system (45) is obtained by the same way as the estimate of the solution of system (22) in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 is proved.

References

1. Ionkin NI. On finding the numerical solution of a non-classical problem, Herald of the Moscow University, Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics 1979;1:64-68. (Russian).
2. Makarov VL, Kuliev DT. The method of lines for quasi-linear parabolic equation with a non-classical boundary condition, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal 1985;37(1):42-48. (Russian).
3. Ciegis RJ. The study of two-dimensional heat conduction problem with non-local condition, Differential equations and their applications, Vilnius, IMC Academy Lit. SSR 1984;35:74-82. (Russian).
4. Sapagovas MP. Numerical methods for two-dimensional problem with non-local condition, J. Differential Equations 1984;20(7):1258-1266. (Russian).
5. Gordeziani DG. On a class of non-local boundary value problems in the theory of elasticity and the theory of shells, Proceedings of the theory and numerical methods for the calculation of plates and shells. Proceedings of the Seminar, Tblisi 1984, 106-127. (Russian).
6. Mamatov T. Mixed Fractional Integro-Differentiation Operators in Hölder Spaces. «The latest research in modern science: experience, traditions and innovations» Proceedings of the VII International Scientific Conference North Charleston, SC, USA, 20-21 June 2018, 6-9
7. Mamatov T. Mapping Properties of Mixed Fractional Differentiation Operators in Hölder Spaces Defined by Usual Hölder Condition. Journal of Computer Science & Computational Mathematics 2019;9(2):29-34. DOI: 10.20967/JCSCM.2019.02.003,
8. Mamatov T. Mixed Fractional Differentiation Operators in Hölder Spaces. Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology (JMEST) ISSN: 2458-9403 2019;6(4):9855-9857.
9. Mamatov T, Rayimov D, Elmurodov M. Mixed Fractional Differentiation Operators in Hölder Spaces. Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology (JMEST) ISSN: 2458-9403 2019;6(4):1204-1209.
10. Mamatov T. Fractional integration operators in mixed weighted generalized Hölder spaces of function of two variables defined by mixed modulus of continuity. "Journal of Mathematical Methods in Engineering" Auctores Publishing – vol.1(1)-004 www.auctoresonline.org. (DOI:10.31579/jmme.2019/004) 2019, 1-16.
11. Mamatov T. Mixed Fractional Integration Operators in Mixed Weighted Hölder Spaces. (Monograph) LAPLAMBERT Academic Publishing 2018, 78.